



[World J Stem Cells](#). 2017 Sep 26; 9(9): 144–151.

PMCID: PMC5620423

Published online 2017 Sep 26. doi: [10.4252/wjsc.v9.i9.144](https://doi.org/10.4252/wjsc.v9.i9.144)

PMID: [29026460](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/29026460/)

Stem cell therapy for nerve injury

[Sara Sayad Fathi](#) and [Arash Zaminy](#).

Sara Sayad Fathi, Department of Anatomical Sciences, School of Medicine, Guilan University of Medical Sciences, Rasht 41996-13769, Iran;

[Contributor Information](#).

Author contributions: Authors have contributed equally to the manuscript.

Correspondence to: Arash Zaminy, PhD, Department of Anatomical Sciences, School of Medicine, Guilan University of Medical Sciences, Rasht-Tehran Highway, Rasht 41996-13769, Iran. zaminy_a@gums.ac.ir

Telephone: +98-91-24796418 Fax: +98-13-33690036

Received 2017 Jan 29; Revised 2017 Jun 29; Accepted 2017 Jul 14.

[Copyright](#) ©The Author(s) 2017. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Open-Access: This article is an open-access article which was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution Non Commercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>

Abstract

Peripheral nerve injury has remained a substantial clinical complication with no satisfactory treatment options. Despite the great development in the field of microsurgery, some severe types of neural injuries cannot be treated without causing tension to the injured nerve. Thus, current studies have focused on the new approaches for the treatment of peripheral nerve injuries. Stem cells with the ability to differentiate into a variety of cell types have brought a new perspective to this matter. In this review, we will discuss the use of three main sources of mesenchymal stem cells in the treatment of peripheral nerve injuries.

Keywords: Cell-based therapies, Peripheral nerve injury, Stem cells, Mesenchymal stem cells, Bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells, Adipose-derived mesenchymal stem cells, Umbilical cord mesenchymal stem cells

Core tip: Mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs) can differentiate into many kinds of cell types including Schwann cells (SCs). Since there are limitations for the use of SCs in nerve injuries, it is necessary to know about substitute cell types. So far, different sources of MSCs such as embryonic stem cells, bone marrow MSCs, adipose-derived stem cells, etc. have been studied and the existence of beneficial effects on nerve regeneration after injury has been confirmed. Here in this paper, we have collected the latest updates on the use of MSCs from different sources in peripheral nerve regeneration.

INTRODUCTION

Cell-based therapy in Peripheral nerve injuries (PNIs) has become an important intercession which amends clinical outcome. Contrary to the central nervous system, the peripheral nervous system has the potential for regeneration to a certain extent[1]. Nevertheless, complete functional recovery is strongly dependent upon the severity of the injury, anatomical site of the injury, and the delay before any kind of applied intervention[2].

What is PNI?

Any harm to the peripheral nerves interrupting their function would be classified as a PNI. In the case of PNI, the connection between the involved nerve fiber and the distal organ would be negatively affected and sometimes even lost, so, the distal organ undergoes atrophy due to denervation. In 1%-3% of patients with a traumatic accident, a PNI will almost always be involved[3,4]. It has been recognized in children suffering falls[5,6], as a consequence of medical procedures such as surgeries, chemotherapy, radiation[7-9] and sometimes it has been brought about some chronic conditions like diabetes and cancers[10,11]. It can also occur as an iatrogenic injury[12]. There are three main types of a condition causing PNI: Transection, tension, and compression[13,14]. First of which is commonly caused by penetrating trauma, the second one occurs when a nerve is over-stretched and the third can be reversed if the condition caused the injury is stopped within 8 h. In this article we have mainly focused on transection injuries.

What happens in cellular and molecular level?

A series of cellular and molecular events take place in response to nerve injury. In severe transection injuries (grade V in Sunderland classification or neurotmesis in Seddon classification[15,16]) caused by penetrating trauma, proximal and distal stumps of the injured nerve undergo pathological changes. “Wallerian degeneration” will occur in distal stump in which injured axons will turn into granule-like debris that will be later cleaned by macrophages[17]. Proximal stump also firstly retracts back to node of Ranvier[18] and then tries to reach the distal stump by giving rise to outgrowing axons[19,20] while activated Schwann cells (SCs) transform into regenerating phenotype and proliferate in the distal stump to form longitudinal columns called “bands of Büngner” which are essential to guide the outgrowing axons[21]. However, mentioned events along with the secretion of neurotrophic factors by SCs make a great environment for axonal stumps to meet, but the slow rate of axon regeneration which is location-dependent but is usually stated as 1 mm/d[22], almost always fails these processes and leads to impotency of activated SCs[23], misguidance of outgrowing axons and target organ atrophy due to prolonged lack of innervation[24].

Therapeutic strategies

In the case of transection injury, the Gold-Standard therapeutic strategy is to join the proximal and distal stumps of the damaged nerve through surgical interventions. Yet, when the gap is too wide to be repaired without stretching the nerve fiber, a nerve graft or a conduit is needed to bridge the gap. Although nerve grafting is the gold standard technique[20,25], this often leads to consequences such as donor site unwholesomeness for autologous grafts and graft rejection for heterologous grafts. On the other hand, conduits provide a guiding channel for axonal outgrowth and they can also serve as a vehicle to deliver essential growth factors and supporting cells[20,26-29]. In recent years, cell transplantation has been proposed as a method of improving peripheral nerve regeneration. SCs activated in response to nerve injury, as previously described play a key role in Wallerian degeneration and formation of bands of Büngner. These features make SCs the most suitable supporting cell candidate to transplant, but regarding other important features of SCs such as the difficulty of harvest, the slow expansion in culture and a high immunogenicity[30,31], SCs could not make the ideal

supporting cells. So attentions have moved towards the use of differentiated and undifferentiated types of stem cells which have the capacity to transform into a variety of different cell types in presence of particular factors.

Use of stem cells

Stem cells are undifferentiated cells of an organism being capable of giving rise to indefinitely more cells of the same type, and other types of cells by differentiation. Stem cells commonly come from two main sources: Embryos (embryonic stem cells), which can be harvested during embryonic period and adult tissues (adult stem cells) that are available in all the tissues in the body. Stem cells are classified by their capability to differentiate into other cell types. Unipotent stem cells (like muscle stem cells) can only give rise to cells of their own type. Oligopotent stem cells can differentiate into a few cell types, like myeloid stem cells. Multipotent stem cells have the ability to differentiate into a nearly related type of cells, like hematopoietic stem cells which not only can produce red blood cells but also can give rise to white blood cells and platelets. Pluripotent stem cells can differentiate into almost all cell types and the examples include embryonic stem cells and the cells from ectodermal, mesodermal and endodermal layers. Totipotent stem cells are the only ones which are able to give rise to all possible cell types, the example is the first few cells that result from the division of the zygote and the fertilized zygote itself.

Mesenchymal stem cells

In this review we mainly focused on mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs), the multipotent stem cells which can be obtained from various sources such as bone marrow, umbilical cord and amniotic fluid, adipose tissue, and also teeth. These cells are characterized morphologically by a small cell body containing a round nucleus with a clear appearance and a prominent nucleolus. Cells have a few long cell processes and the cytoplasm contains Golgi apparatus, mitochondria, rough endoplasmic reticulum and ribosomes. They are spread widely in the extracellular matrix containing a low amount of reticular fiber.

All-together, this paper will discuss the recent progress in the use of cell-based therapies and of interest the use of MSCs for peripheral nerve regeneration. It will summarize the perspectives of employing main sources of MSCs to speed up the healing process in injured peripheral nerves and involved mechanisms.

SURGICAL TECHNIQUES

The most common donor nerve used for autograft is Sural nerve which is a sensory nerve, hence it cannot be the proper choice for the repair of nerves with mixed motor and sensory or motor constituent[20,32]. Regarding to the complications of nerve autografts, researchers have focused on using substitute options to bridge the wide gaps with no harm to nerve ends. Various absorbable biomaterials have been used to make conduits and authors worldwide reported different results[20,26-29]. Conduits can be autogenous or synthetic. Autogenous conduits such as vein conduits sometimes accompanied by muscle or platelet-rich plasma components regardless of good outcomes require a donor site for harvesting[33,34]. A wide range of synthetic conduits made of collagen, polycaprolactone, polyglycolic acid and polyester have also been studied. Taras et al[35] used collagen conduits and reported good sensory nerves recovery. Wangenstein et al[36] and Ashley et al[37] showed that collagen conduits can have beneficial effects in clinical experiments as well as preclinical experiments with using them in trauma patients and infants with brachial plexus injuries respectively. They run a follow-up survey and monitored 5 infants with transplanted collagen conduits and reported significant motor recovery. Lohmeyer et al[38] also used collagen conduits for nerve reconstruction and reported a 55% of two-point discrimination and 77% of protective sensation recovery. Boeckstyns

et al[39] used collagen tubules for recovery of the injured median and ulnar nerves and Sosa et al[40] used collagen tubules containing platelet-rich fibrin for a patient with ulnar neuroma and both of them reported significant motor and sensory recovery. Mackinnon et al[18] used polyglycolic acid tubes in 15 patients with 17 mm nerve gaps and found that despite 14% of them having poor recovery, 86% of them showed excellent (33%) and good (55%) signs of recovery. Battiston et al[27] used polyglycolic acid conduits and muscle-vein conduits to see their difference healing properties. Results showed no significant difference between two groups. Weber et al[41] evaluated the beneficial effects of polyglycolic acid tubes compared to neuroorrhaphy and nerve autografts and reported that in gaps of less than 4 mm or more than 8 mm, polyglycolic acids provided better recovery. Despite great improvements in surgical techniques and instruments, this field will have to be more and more investigated to make an optimal combination of cells and neurotrophic factors accompany a conduit to amend clinical outcomes.

IMPORTANT ROLE OF NEUROTROPHIC FACTORS

Axonal outgrowths are very slow to form and in severe cases it takes a long time for them to reach the distal stump, and on the other hand it is critical for activated SCs to innervate quickly in order to remain in their active form. Thus, administration of exogenous neurotrophic and growth factor with the ability of speeding up the mentioned processes has gathered attention. Neurotrophic factors are proteins which are necessary for many vital neural activities particularly in the regeneration of neurons after injuries[42-45]. Some of the most important neurotrophic factors are listed in following sentences and their role in neural regeneration have been described in brief. Brain-derived neurotrophin factor (BDNF) plays a key role after neural injuries and showed to have advantageous effects on outgrowing axons[46,47]. Nerve growth factor (NGF) have also a beneficial effect on the elongation of outgrowing sensory axons additional to enhancing SCs motility[48-50]. Glial cell line-derived neurotrophic factor (GDNF) acts like a chemoattractant for SCs[48-50]. Sox11 is a very important transcription factor upregulating in response to PNI[51]. Its expression can affect myelination and axonal elongation and levels of BDNF[52-56]. It also can help with the survival of neurons through the expression of TNF receptor-associated factor-associated NF- κ B activator (TANK)[51-55]. Vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) can improve outcomes of nerve regeneration through improving microcirculation[57]. Insulin-like growth factor (IGF) found to have stimulant effects on mitosis of SCs and axonal elongation[58] Mohammadi et al[59] used silicon tube with hepatocyte growth factor (HGF) filling and reported improved muscle atrophy. Li et al[60] also reported that same beneficial properties of HGF in combination with acellular nerve allograft. Mohammadi et al[61] reported improved recovery after using silicone tube filled with adrenocorticotropin hormone (ACTH). Emel et al[62] have reported that IGF-1 has a better effect on PNI compared to Platelet-rich plasma. Regardless of how much it could be helpful to use the combination of conduits and neurotrophins, it is still important to hold SCs at their active form, because over a short period of time they lose their capacity for remaining active. Researchers have had invented methods to transplant newly activated SCs to the site of injury or to use cell types which are able to transform into SCs or SC-like cells to support the healing process.

SCs IN NERVE REGENERATION

SCs actively produce cell adhesion molecules, neurotrophins and growth factors and they can also serve as a scaffold allowing axonal sprouts to grow through their basal lamina[63-66]. They can also produce regulatory factors to help axonal outgrowth[67,68]. Despite promising results in preclinical experiments, clinical studies did not gain good results because the difficulties with harvesting[68,69] and culture of SCs[70] and the fact that prolong denervated SCs lose their ability to stimulate regeneration[71].

STEM CELLS USED IN PNIs

Because of stem cells' potentials they have become a source of cells which act as an alternative for SCs in peripheral nerve regeneration[70,72-74]. Stem cells as previously described, are biological progenitor cells which are undifferentiated and are able to produce more undifferentiated stem cells like themselves through mitosis. In addition, they can differentiate into almost all kinds of cell type depending on trophic and tropic factors they are exposed to. In the case of nervous system, stem cells have the ability to differentiate into supporting cells including oligodendrocytes, astrocytes, microglia, SC-like cells, and neurons themselves[75]. They can be differentiated *in vitro* before transplantation, and can also be transplanted in their undifferentiated form allowing to differentiate *in vivo* at the site of injury. An ideal choice of stem cell would be depended on the important features of the cells, like the ease of harvesting through noninvasive procedures, rapid expanding in culture and low immunogenicity[30,31]. Many kinds of stem cells with different sources have been studied, among them, MSCs having mentioned features, have been suggested as a potential cell type to enhance nerve regeneration. MSCs are multipotent stromal cells which can differentiate into a variety of cell types. Three main sources of MSCs will be discussed in following sections.

Bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells

Several studies have reported that bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells (BMSCs) can be induced to differentiate into mesodermal, ectodermal and endodermal lineage[76-80]. Interestingly they can differentiate into SC-like cells and ameliorate neural regeneration by releasing neurotrophic and growth factors, BDNF, GDNF, myelin basic protein[81] and by regulating SCs behavior[82]. These good effects seem to be irrelevant to their differentiation state because both differentiated and undifferentiated BMSCs represent positive molecular, electrophysiological, histological and behavioral effects in preclinical experiments[83]. Regarding some problems in harvesting BMSCs like the need of performing invasive and painful procedures that might yield a low number of cells, BMSCs have some disadvantages in clinical studies. Wang et al[84] compared the combination of BMSC-SCs and Adipose-derived stem cell SCs (ADSC-SCs) with acellular grafts to bridge the sciatic gaps of 15 mm and reported the greater regeneration recovery at the presence of BMSC-SCs and ADSC-SCs. Hu et al[85] used BMSC seeded grafts for the recovery of 50 mm median nerve injury in monkeys and found that the healing process with good functional and morphological outcomes was close to autografts. Cuevas et al[86,87] found that using BMSCs have beneficial effects on rat models of PNI with injured sciatic nerves. They have also run a follow-up experiment to assess the healing process and reported a significant improvement in sciatic nerve-injured rats with transplanted BMSCs compared to control group. Chen et al[81] used silicon conduits filled with BMSCs and assessed the recovery process measuring the number of growing axons and muscle atrophy along with walking test and reported their beneficial effects on mentioned indices highlighting the role of neurotrophic factors and myelin basic protein upregulation and not the increase in the number of SCs. Haghghat et al[88] and Mohammadi et al[89] also showed that using vein conduits with undifferentiated BMSCs can cause a significant increase in the number and diameter of growing axons and functional improvement consequently. Studies showed that differentiated BMSCs can have a better impact when used in combination with acellular nerve allografts rather than undifferentiated BMSCs[90]. It has been demonstrated that using BMSCs in PNIs can have similar outcomes as in use of autografts. Studies showed that BMSCs can possibly improve the outcome of nerve regeneration by modulating the behavior of SCs along with expressing neurotrophins[82]. Caddick et al[79] found that BMSCs can be induced to differentiate into SC-like cells representing SCs markers such as S100, P75, and GFAP. It has been reported that with the use of cytokines, rat BMSCs can be transformed into SC-like cells which were capable of myelinating PC12 cells *in vitro* after 2 wk as well as increasing the myelinated axons in a rat model of PNI after 3 wk[91]. It has been shown that BMSCs apply their beneficial effects in a dose-dependent manner[92].

Adipose-derived mesenchymal stem cells

Adipose-derived mesenchymal stem cells (ADSCs) are another source of multipotent stem cells with the ability of transforming into all three germinal layers[93,94] and additionally, has been showed to give much greater numbers of cells compared to other adult tissues[95], with minimally invasive surgical procedures and a very simple isolation protocol including washing; diffusing with the aid of enzymatic agents; centrifugation and removal of red blood cells (RBCs). This protocol gives a cellular fraction containing various cell types. Among them, ADSCs of interest adhere to the plastic wall of the container and proliferate quickly, so it can be easily recognized and separated from other cells. Studies showed that ADSCs can be induced to express glial cell markers such as S100B, GFAP and P75 neurotrophin receptors *in vitro*[69]. Also in the case of ADSCs, it has been demonstrated that *in vitro* differentiation into SCs could not bring any further melioration probably because of ADSCs natural capacity of *in vivo* differentiation into SCs[65]. Di summa et al[65] demonstrated that ADSC-SCs, as well as BMSC-SCs, can be used for the repair of rat sciatic nerve injury and since unlike the BMSCs, ADSCs can be easily harvested and expanded, they would be a better choice in PNI injuries. Erba et al[96] transplanted undifferentiated ADSCs in poly-3-hydroxybutyrate conduit to assess the axonal outgrowth and the transplanted cells capacity to transform at the site of injury. They reported the increase in the number of SCs and regeneration however researchers could not detect any transformation into neither glial nor neural cells. A similar result has been reported by Santiago et al[97] and the possible mechanism suggested by the authors through which the regeneration has been enhanced, was the expression of neurotrophins. Other similar results have been reported by other researchers[98,99]. Wei et al[100] showed that ADSC filled conduits have the same regenerative effects in rat sciatic nerve injury as SC filled conduit. Researchers found that ADSCs cannot be differentiated into SCs *in vivo* despite *in vitro* differentiation[101]. It has been demonstrated that undifferentiated ADSCs can release neurotrophins but at a lower extent[102]. Oliveira et al[103] used polycaprolactone conduits seeded with MSCs and showed the improvement of myelination and function compared with empty conduits. Another research group used collagen conduits with collagen gel containing ADSCs filling and results showed that improvement was similar to nerve autografts[104].

Umbilical cord mesenchymal stem cells

Regardless of ethical concerns with the use of umbilical cord mesenchymal stem cells (UC-MSCs) and limitation of its availability, there is still proofs which show they are superior to other adult stem cell with different sources: First, they can be collected in great numbers without causing any harm to donor simply from discardable tissues after childbirth; second, as they will be collected at the perinatal period, they are less likely to have genetic damages[105]; third, they are younger than other adult stem cells so they can undergo higher number of mitosis and can be much more expanded in culture[106]; fourth, while they lack HLA-II, they have much lower immunogenic properties compared to other adult stem cells[107]. Matsuse et al[108] used tubes filled with SC-like cells which have been previously formed as a result of UC-MSCs differentiation and showed that they can promote axonal regeneration. Same results have been demonstrated by Kuroda et al[109] and Pereira et al[110]. Peng et al[111] demonstrated that SC-like cells can secrete BDNF, Neurotrophin-3, and NGF *in vitro* and when combined with PC12 cells, axonal growth was seen.

CONCLUSION

To improve peripheral nerve regeneration for better sensory and motor recovery, the use of stem cells and especially MSCs would be greatly helpful. These cells are not only able to differentiate into SCs *in vitro*, but they can also transform into SCs directly at the site of injury. Furthermore, administration of stem cells, can regulate the activity of native SCs, modify the inhibitory regenerative environment, improve myelination and cell survival and enhance neurotrophic activity. In summary, MSCs with such suitable properties as the ease of harvesting, especially in the case of ADSCs, and the low risk of

immunogenic activities have got a great potential to improve the regeneration process. Thus, for sure by further investigations, significant improvements in neural regeneration by the help of MSCs will be obtained.

Footnotes

Conflict-of-interest statement: Authors declare no conflict of interest for this article.

Manuscript source: Invited manuscript

Specialty type: Cell and tissue engineering

Country of origin: Iran

Peer-review report classification

Grade A (Excellent): 0

Grade B (Very good): B

Grade C (Good): C, C

Grade D (Fair): 0

Grade E (Poor): 0

Peer-review started: February 13, 2017

First decision: May 11, 2017

Article in press: July 17, 2017

P- Reviewer: Feng ZL, Isik AT, Zeng LF S- Editor: Ji FF L- Editor: A E- Editor: Lu YJ

Contributor Information

Sara Sayad Fathi, Department of Anatomical Sciences, School of Medicine, Guilan University of Medical Sciences, Rasht 41996-13769, Iran.

Arash Zaminy, Department of Anatomical Sciences, School of Medicine, Guilan University of Medical Sciences, Rasht 41996-13769, Iran. Neuroscience Research Center, School of Medicine, Guilan University of Medical Sciences, Rasht 41996-13769, Iran. zaminy_a@gums.ac.ir.

References

1. Chen ZL, Yu WM, Strickland S. Peripheral regeneration. *Annu Rev Neurosci*. 2007;30:209–233. [[PubMed](#)]
2. Scheib J, Höke A. Advances in peripheral nerve regeneration. *Nat Rev Neurol*. 2013;9:668–676. [[PubMed](#)]
3. Noble J, Munro CA, Prasad VS, Midha R. Analysis of upper and lower extremity peripheral nerve injuries in a population of patients with multiple injuries. *J Trauma*. 1998;45:116–122. [[PubMed](#)]
4. Taylor CA, Braza D, Rice JB, Dillingham T. The incidence of peripheral nerve injury in extremity trauma. *Am J Phys Med Rehabil*. 2008;87:381–385. [[PubMed](#)]
5. Bekelis K, Missios S, Spinner RJ. Falls and peripheral nerve injuries: an age-dependent relationship. *J Neurosurg*. 2015;123:1223–1229. [[PubMed](#)]

6. Missios S, Bekelis K, Spinner RJ. Traumatic peripheral nerve injuries in children: epidemiology and socioeconomic. *J Neurosurg Pediatr.* 2014;14:688–694. [[PubMed](#)]
7. Pereira S, Fontes F, Sonin T, Dias T, Fragoso M, Castro-Lopes JM, Lunet N. Chemotherapy-induced peripheral neuropathy after neoadjuvant or adjuvant treatment of breast cancer: a prospective cohort study. *Support Care Cancer.* 2016;24:1571–1581. [[PubMed](#)]
8. Brull R, Hadzic A, Reina MA, Barrington MJ. Pathophysiology and Etiology of Nerve Injury Following Peripheral Nerve Blockade. *Reg Anesth Pain Med.* 2015;40:479–490. [[PubMed](#)]
9. Wu SG, Huang SJ, Zhou J, Sun JY, Guo H, Li FY, Lin Q, Lin HX, He ZY. Dosimetric analysis of the brachial plexus among patients with breast cancer treated with post-mastectomy radiotherapy to the ipsilateral supraclavicular area: report of 3 cases of radiation-induced brachial plexus neuropathy. *Radiat Oncol.* 2014;9:292. [[PMC free article](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
10. Antoine JC, Camdessanché JP. Peripheral nervous system involvement in patients with cancer. *Lancet Neurol.* 2007;6:75–86. [[PubMed](#)]
11. Callaghan BC, Cheng HT, Stables CL, Smith AL, Feldman EL. Diabetic neuropathy: clinical manifestations and current treatments. *Lancet Neurol.* 2012;11:521–534. [[PMC free article](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
12. Kömürcü F, Zwolak P, Benditte-Klepetko H, Deutinger M. Management strategies for peripheral iatrogenic nerve lesions. *Ann Plast Surg.* 2005;54:135–139; discussion 140-142. [[PubMed](#)]
13. Kim DH, Murovic JA, Tiel RL, Kline DG. Mechanisms of injury in operative brachial plexus lesions. *Neurosurg Focus.* 2004;16:E2. [[PubMed](#)]
14. Burnett MG, Zager EL. Pathophysiology of peripheral nerve injury: a brief review. *Neurosurg Focus.* 2004;16:E1. [[PubMed](#)]
15. Seddon H. Three types of nerve injury. *Brain.* 1943;66:237–288.
16. Sunderland S. A classification of peripheral nerve injuries producing loss of function. *Brain.* 1951;74:491–516. [[PubMed](#)]
17. Waller A. Experiments on the section of the glossopharyngeal and hypoglossal nerves of the frog, and observations of the alterations produced thereby in the structure of their primitive fibers. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond* 1850; 140: 423-429.
18. Maggi SP, Lowe JB 3rd, Mackinnon SE. Pathophysiology of nerve injury. *Clin Plast Surg.* 2003;30:109–126. [[PubMed](#)]
19. Geuna S, Raimondo S, Ronchi G, Di Scipio F, Tos P, Czaja K, Fornaro M. Chapter 3: Histology of the peripheral nerve and changes occurring during nerve regeneration. *Int Rev Neurobiol.* 2009;87:27–46. [[PubMed](#)]
20. Lee SK, Wolfe SW. Peripheral nerve injury and repair. *J Am Acad Orthop Surg.* 2000;8:243–252. [[PubMed](#)]
21. Bungner OV. Über die Degenerations und Regenerationsvorgänge an Nerven nach Verletzungen. *Beitr Pathol Anat.* 1891;10:321–387.
22. Trojaborg W. Rate of recovery in motor and sensory fibres of the radial nerve: clinical and electrophysiological aspects. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry.* 1970;33:625–638. [[PMC free article](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
23. You S, Petrov T, Chung PH, Gordon T. The expression of the low affinity nerve growth factor receptor in long-term denervated Schwann cells. *Glia.* 1997;20:87–100. [[PubMed](#)]

24. Fu SY, Gordon T. Contributing factors to poor functional recovery after delayed nerve repair: prolonged denervation. *J Neurosci*. 1995;15:3886–3895. [[PubMed](#)]
25. Pabari A, Lloyd-Hughes H, Seifalian AM, Mosahebi A. Nerve conduits for peripheral nerve surgery. *Plast Reconstr Surg*. 2014;133:1420–1430. [[PubMed](#)]
26. Sabongi RG, Fernandes M, Dos Santos JB. Peripheral nerve regeneration with conduits: use of vein tubes. *Neural Regen Res*. 2015;10:529–533. [[PMC free article](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
27. Battiston B, Geuna S, Ferrero M, Tos P. Nerve repair by means of tubulization: literature review and personal clinical experience comparing biological and synthetic conduits for sensory nerve repair. *Microsurgery*. 2005;25:258–267. [[PubMed](#)]
28. Siemionow M, Bozkurt M, Zor F. Regeneration and repair of peripheral nerves with different biomaterials: review. *Microsurgery*. 2010;30:574–588. [[PubMed](#)]
29. Liao C, Zheng R, Wei C, Yan J, Ding Y, Wang G, Li Z, Zhang Z. Tissue-engineered conduit promotes sciatic nerve regeneration following radiation-induced injury as monitored by magnetic resonance imaging. *Magn Reson Imaging*. 2016;34:515–523. [[PubMed](#)]
30. Azizi SA, Stokes D, Augelli BJ, DiGirolamo C, Prockop DJ. Engraftment and migration of human bone marrow stromal cells implanted in the brains of albino rats--similarities to astrocyte grafts. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*. 1998;95:3908–3913. [[PMC free article](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
31. Walsh S, Midha R. Practical considerations concerning the use of stem cells for peripheral nerve repair. *Neurosurg Focus*. 2009;26:E2. [[PubMed](#)]
32. Nichols CM, Brenner MJ, Fox IK, Tung TH, Hunter DA, Rickman SR, Mackinnon SE. Effects of motor versus sensory nerve grafts on peripheral nerve regeneration. *Exp Neurol*. 2004;190:347–355. [[PubMed](#)]
33. Manoli T, Schulz L, Stahl S, Jaminet P, Schaller HE. Evaluation of sensory recovery after reconstruction of digital nerves of the hand using muscle-in-vein conduits in comparison to nerve suture or nerve autografting. *Microsurgery*. 2014;34:608–615. [[PubMed](#)]
34. Kim JY, Jeon WJ, Kim DH, Rhyu IJ, Kim YH, Youn I, Park JW. An inside-out vein graft filled with platelet-rich plasma for repair of a short sciatic nerve defect in rats. *Neural Regen Res*. 2014;9:1351–1357. [[PMC free article](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
35. Taras JS, Jacoby SM, Lincoski CJ. Reconstruction of digital nerves with collagen conduits. *J Hand Surg Am*. 2011;36:1441–1446. [[PubMed](#)]
36. Wangenstein KJ, Kalliainen LK. Collagen tube conduits in peripheral nerve repair: a retrospective analysis. *Hand (NY)* 2010;5:273–277. [[PMC free article](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
37. Ashley WW Jr, Weatherly T, Park TS. Collagen nerve guides for surgical repair of brachial plexus birth injury. *J Neurosurg*. 2006;105:452–456. [[PubMed](#)]
38. Lohmeyer JA, Kern Y, Schmauss D, Paprottka F, Stang F, Siemers F, Mailaender P, Machens HG. Prospective clinical study on digital nerve repair with collagen nerve conduits and review of literature. *J Reconstr Microsurg*. 2014;30:227–234. [[PubMed](#)]
39. Boeckstyns ME, Sørensen AI, Viñeta JF, Rosén B, Navarro X, Archibald SJ, Valss-Solé J, Moldovan M, Krarup C. Collagen conduit versus microsurgical neuroorrhaphy: 2-year follow-up of a prospective, blinded clinical and electrophysiological multicenter randomized, controlled trial. *J Hand Surg Am*. 2013;38:2405–2411. [[PubMed](#)]

40. Sosa I, Reyes O, Kuffler DP. Immunosuppressants: neuroprotection and promoting neurological recovery following peripheral nerve and spinal cord lesions. *Exp Neurol*. 2005;195:7–15. [[PubMed](#)]
41. Weber RA, Breidenbach WC, Brown RE, Jabaley ME, Mass DP. A randomized prospective study of polyglycolic acid conduits for digital nerve reconstruction in humans. *Plast Reconstr Surg*. 2000;106:1036–1045; discussion 1046-1048. [[PubMed](#)]
42. Fu SY, Gordon T. The cellular and molecular basis of peripheral nerve regeneration. *Mol Neurobiol*. 1997;14:67–116. [[PubMed](#)]
43. Kemp SW, Walsh SK, Midha R. Growth factor and stem cell enhanced conduits in peripheral nerve regeneration and repair. *Neurol Res*. 2008;30:1030–1038. [[PubMed](#)]
44. Zheng M, Kuffler DP. Guidance of regenerating motor axons in vivo by gradients of diffusible peripheral nerve-derived factors. *J Neurobiol*. 2000;42:212–219. [[PubMed](#)]
45. Boyd JG, Gordon T. Neurotrophic factors and their receptors in axonal regeneration and functional recovery after peripheral nerve injury. *Mol Neurobiol*. 2003;27:277–324. [[PubMed](#)]
46. Griesbeck O, Parsadanian AS, Sendtner M, Thoenen H. Expression of neurotrophins in skeletal muscle: quantitative comparison and significance for motoneuron survival and maintenance of function. *J Neurosci Res*. 1995;42:21–33. [[PubMed](#)]
47. English AW, Wilhelm JC, Ward PJ. Exercise, neurotrophins, and axon regeneration in the PNS. *Physiology (Bethesda)* 2014;29:437–445. [[PMC free article](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
48. Johnson BN, Lancaster KZ, Zhen G, He J, Gupta MK, Kong YL, Engel EA, Krick KD, Ju A, Meng F, et al. 3D Printed Anatomical Nerve Regeneration Pathways. *Adv Funct Mater*. 2015;25:6205–6217. [[PMC free article](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
49. Cao X, Shoichet MS. Defining the concentration gradient of nerve growth factor for guided neurite outgrowth. *Neuroscience*. 2001;103:831–840. [[PubMed](#)]
50. Cornejo M, Nambi D, Walheim C, Somerville M, Walker J, Kim L, Ollison L, Diamante G, Vyawahare S, de Bellard ME. Effect of NRG1, GDNF, EGF and NGF in the migration of a Schwann cell precursor line. *Neurochem Res*. 2010;35:1643–1651. [[PMC free article](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
51. Jankowski MP, Cornuet PK, McIlwrath S, Koerber HR, Albers KM. SRY-box containing gene 11 (Sox11) transcription factor is required for neuron survival and neurite growth. *Neuroscience*. 2006;143:501–514. [[PMC free article](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
52. Jankowski MP, McIlwrath SL, Jing X, Cornuet PK, Salerno KM, Koerber HR, Albers KM. Sox11 transcription factor modulates peripheral nerve regeneration in adult mice. *Brain Res*. 2009;1256:43–54. [[PMC free article](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
53. Jing X, Wang T, Huang S, Glorioso JC, Albers KM. The transcription factor Sox11 promotes nerve regeneration through activation of the regeneration-associated gene *Sprr1a*. *Exp Neurol*. 2012;233:221–232. [[PMC free article](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
54. Bonilla IE, Tanabe K, Strittmatter SM. Small proline-rich repeat protein 1A is expressed by axotomized neurons and promotes axonal outgrowth. *J Neurosci*. 2002;22:1303–1315. [[PubMed](#)]
55. Salerno KM, Jing X, Diges CM, Davis BM, Albers KM. TRAF family member-associated NF-kappa B activator (TANK) expression increases in injured sensory neurons and is transcriptionally regulated by Sox11. *Neuroscience*. 2013;231:28–37. [[PMC free article](#)] [[PubMed](#)]

56. Hong EJ, McCord AE, Greenberg ME. A biological function for the neuronal activity-dependent component of Bdnf transcription in the development of cortical inhibition. *Neuron*. 2008;60:610–624. [[PMC free article](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
57. Mohammadi R, Ahsan S, Masoumi M, Amini K. Vascular endothelial growth factor promotes peripheral nerve regeneration after sciatic nerve transection in rat. *Chin J Traumatol*. 2013;16:323–329. [[PubMed](#)]
58. Mohammadi R, Esmaeil-Sani Z, Amini K. Effect of local administration of insulin-like growth factor I combined with inside-out artery graft on peripheral nerve regeneration. *Injury*. 2013;44:1295–1301. [[PubMed](#)]
59. Mohammadi R, Masoumi-Verki M, Ahsan S, Khaleghjoo A, Amini K. Improvement of peripheral nerve defects using a silicone conduit filled with hepatocyte growth factor. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol*. 2013;116:673–679. [[PubMed](#)]
60. Li Z, Peng J, Wang G, Yang Q, Yu H, Guo Q, Wang A, Zhao B, Lu S. Effects of local release of hepatocyte growth factor on peripheral nerve regeneration in acellular nerve grafts. *Exp Neurol*. 2008;214:47–54. [[PubMed](#)]
61. Mohammadi R, Yadegarazadi MJ, Amini K. Peripheral nerve regeneration following transection injury to rat sciatic nerve by local application of adrenocorticotrophic hormone. *J Craniomaxillofac Surg*. 2014;42:784–789. [[PubMed](#)]
62. Emel E, Ergün SS, Kotan D, Gürsoy EB, Parman Y, Zengin A, Nurten A. Effects of insulin-like growth factor-I and platelet-rich plasma on sciatic nerve crush injury in a rat model. *J Neurosurg*. 2011;114:522–528. [[PubMed](#)]
63. Terenghi G. Peripheral nerve regeneration and neurotrophic factors. *J Anat*. 1999;194(Pt 1):1–14. [[PMC free article](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
64. Rodríguez FJ, Verdú E, Ceballos D, Navarro X. Nerve guides seeded with autologous schwann cells improve nerve regeneration. *Exp Neurol*. 2000;161:571–584. [[PubMed](#)]
65. di Summa PG, Kingham PJ, Raffoul W, Wiberg M, Terenghi G, Kalbermatten DF. Adipose-derived stem cells enhance peripheral nerve regeneration. *J Plast Reconstr Aesthet Surg*. 2010;63:1544–1552. [[PubMed](#)]
66. Terenghi G. Peripheral nerve injury and regeneration. *Histol Histopathol*. 1995;10:709–718. [[PubMed](#)]
67. Fawcett JW, Keynes RJ. Peripheral nerve regeneration. *Annu Rev Neurosci*. 1990;13:43–60. [[PubMed](#)]
68. Thompson DM, Buettner HM. Oriented Schwann cell monolayers for directed neurite outgrowth. *Ann Biomed Eng*. 2004;32:1120–1130. [[PubMed](#)]
69. Kingham PJ, Kalbermatten DF, Mahay D, Armstrong SJ, Wiberg M, Terenghi G. Adipose-derived stem cells differentiate into a Schwann cell phenotype and promote neurite outgrowth in vitro. *Exp Neurol*. 2007;207:267–274. [[PubMed](#)]
70. Walsh S, Biernaskie J, Kemp SW, Midha R. Supplementation of acellular nerve grafts with skin derived precursor cells promotes peripheral nerve regeneration. *Neuroscience*. 2009;164:1097–1107. [[PubMed](#)]
71. Dedkov EI, Kostrominova TY, Borisov AB, Carlson BM. Survival of Schwann cells in chronically denervated skeletal muscles. *Acta Neuropathol*. 2002;103:565–574. [[PubMed](#)]

72. Amoh Y, Kanoh M, Niiyama S, Hamada Y, Kawahara K, Sato Y, Hoffman RM, Katsuoka K. Human hair follicle pluripotent stem (hfPS) cells promote regeneration of peripheral-nerve injury: an advantageous alternative to ES and iPS cells. *J Cell Biochem.* 2009;107:1016–1020. [[PubMed](#)]
73. Schaakxs D, Kalbermatten DF, Raffoul W, Wiberg M, Kingham PJ. Regenerative cell injection in denervated muscle reduces atrophy and enhances recovery following nerve repair. *Muscle Nerve.* 2013;47:691–701. [[PubMed](#)]
74. Tohill M, Mantovani C, Wiberg M, Terenghi G. Rat bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells express glial markers and stimulate nerve regeneration. *Neurosci Lett.* 2004;362:200–203. [[PubMed](#)]
75. Ladak A, Olson J, Tredget EE, Gordon T. Differentiation of mesenchymal stem cells to support peripheral nerve regeneration in a rat model. *Exp Neurol.* 2011;228:242–252. [[PubMed](#)]
76. Tohill M, Terenghi G. Stem-cell plasticity and therapy for injuries of the peripheral nervous system. *Biotechnol Appl Biochem.* 2004;40:17–24. [[PubMed](#)]
77. Barry FP, Murphy JM. Mesenchymal stem cells: clinical applications and biological characterization. *Int J Biochem Cell Biol.* 2004;36:568–584. [[PubMed](#)]
78. García-Castro J, Trigueros C, Madrenas J, Pérez-Simón JA, Rodríguez R, Menendez P. Mesenchymal stem cells and their use as cell replacement therapy and disease modelling tool. *J Cell Mol Med.* 2008;12:2552–2565. [[PMC free article](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
79. Caddick J, Kingham PJ, Gardiner NJ, Wiberg M, Terenghi G. Phenotypic and functional characteristics of mesenchymal stem cells differentiated along a Schwann cell lineage. *Glia.* 2006;54:840–849. [[PubMed](#)]
80. Bajada S, Mazakova I, Richardson JB, Ashammakhi N. Updates on stem cells and their applications in regenerative medicine. *J Tissue Eng Regen Med.* 2008;2:169–183. [[PubMed](#)]
81. Chen CJ, Ou YC, Liao SL, Chen WY, Chen SY, Wu CW, Wang CC, Wang WY, Huang YS, Hsu SH. Transplantation of bone marrow stromal cells for peripheral nerve repair. *Exp Neurol.* 2007;204:443–453. [[PubMed](#)]
82. Wang J, Ding F, Gu Y, Liu J, Gu X. Bone marrow mesenchymal stem cells promote cell proliferation and neurotrophic function of Schwann cells in vitro and in vivo. *Brain Res.* 2009;1262:7–15. [[PubMed](#)]
83. Wakao S, Hayashi T, Kitada M, Kohama M, Matsue D, Teramoto N, Ose T, Itokazu Y, Koshino K, Watabe H, et al. Long-term observation of auto-cell transplantation in non-human primate reveals safety and efficiency of bone marrow stromal cell-derived Schwann cells in peripheral nerve regeneration. *Exp Neurol.* 2010;223:537–547. [[PubMed](#)]
84. Wang Y, Zhao Z, Ren Z, Zhao B, Zhang L, Chen J, Xu W, Lu S, Zhao Q, Peng J. Recellularized nerve allografts with differentiated mesenchymal stem cells promote peripheral nerve regeneration. *Neurosci Lett.* 2012;514:96–101. [[PubMed](#)]
85. Hu N, Wu H, Xue C, Gong Y, Wu J, Xiao Z, Yang Y, Ding F, Gu X. Long-term outcome of the repair of 50 mm long median nerve defects in rhesus monkeys with marrow mesenchymal stem cells-containing, chitosan-based tissue engineered nerve grafts. *Biomaterials.* 2013;34:100–111. [[PubMed](#)]
86. Cuevas P, Carceller F, Dujovny M, Garcia-Gómez I, Cuevas B, González-Corrochano R, Diaz-González D, Reimers D. Peripheral nerve regeneration by bone marrow stromal cells. *Neurol Res.* 2002;24:634–638. [[PubMed](#)]

87. Cuevas P, Carceller F, Garcia-Gómez I, Yan M, Dujovny M. Bone marrow stromal cell implantation for peripheral nerve repair. *Neurol Res.* 2004;26:230–232. [[PubMed](#)]
88. Haghghat A, Mohammadi R, Amini K. Transplantation of undifferentiated bone-marrow stromal cells into a vein graft accelerates sciatic nerve regeneration in streptozotocin induced diabetic rats. *Curr Neurovasc Res.* 2014;11:230–241. [[PubMed](#)]
89. Mohammadi R, Azizi S, Delirezh N, Hobbenaghi R, Amini K, Malekhetabi P. The use of undifferentiated bone marrow stromal cells for sciatic nerve regeneration in rats. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Surg.* 2012;41:650–656. [[PubMed](#)]
90. Fan L, Yu Z, Li J, Dang X, Wang K. Schwann-like cells seeded in acellular nerve grafts improve nerve regeneration. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord.* 2014;15:165. [[PMC free article](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
91. Keilhoff G, Stang F, Goihl A, Wolf G, Fansa H. Transdifferentiated mesenchymal stem cells as alternative therapy in supporting nerve regeneration and myelination. *Cell Mol Neurobiol.* 2006;26:1235–1252. [[PubMed](#)]
92. Raheja A, Suri V, Suri A, Sarkar C, Srivastava A, Mohanty S, Jain KG, Sharma MC, Mallick HN, Yadav PK, et al. Dose-dependent facilitation of peripheral nerve regeneration by bone marrow-derived mononuclear cells: a randomized controlled study: laboratory investigation. *J Neurosurg.* 2012;117:1170–1181. [[PubMed](#)]
93. Zuk PA, Zhu M, Mizuno H, Huang J, Futrell JW, Katz AJ, Benhaim P, Lorenz HP, Hedrick MH. Multilineage cells from human adipose tissue: implications for cell-based therapies. *Tissue Eng.* 2001;7:211–228. [[PubMed](#)]
94. Kokai LE, Marra K, Rubin JP. Adipose stem cells: biology and clinical applications for tissue repair and regeneration. *Transl Res.* 2014;163:399–408. [[PubMed](#)]
95. Strem BM, Hicok KC, Zhu M, Wulur I, Alfonso Z, Schreiber RE, Fraser JK, Hedrick MH. Multipotential differentiation of adipose tissue-derived stem cells. *Keio J Med.* 2005;54:132–141. [[PubMed](#)]
96. Erba P, Mantovani C, Kalbermatten DF, Pierer G, Terenghi G, Kingham PJ. Regeneration potential and survival of transplanted undifferentiated adipose tissue-derived stem cells in peripheral nerve conduits. *J Plast Reconstr Aesthet Surg.* 2010;63:e811–e817. [[PubMed](#)]
97. Santiago LY, Clavijo-Alvarez J, Brayfield C, Rubin JP, Marra KG. Delivery of adipose-derived precursor cells for peripheral nerve repair. *Cell Transplant.* 2009;18:145–158. [[PubMed](#)]
98. Widgerow AD, Salibian AA, Lalezari S, Evans GR. Neuromodulatory nerve regeneration: adipose tissue-derived stem cells and neurotrophic mediation in peripheral nerve regeneration. *J Neurosci Res.* 2013;91:1517–1524. [[PubMed](#)]
99. Kingham PJ, Kolar MK, Novikova LN, Novikov LN, Wiberg M. Stimulating the neurotrophic and angiogenic properties of human adipose-derived stem cells enhances nerve repair. *Stem Cells Dev.* 2014;23:741–754. [[PubMed](#)]
100. Wei Y, Gong K, Zheng Z, Wang A, Ao Q, Gong Y, Zhang X. Chitosan/silk fibroin-based tissue-engineered graft seeded with adipose-derived stem cells enhances nerve regeneration in a rat model. *J Mater Sci Mater Med.* 2011;22:1947–1964. [[PubMed](#)]
101. Sowa Y, Kishida T, Imura T, Numajiri T, Nishino K, Tabata Y, Mazda O. Adipose-Derived Stem Cells Promote Peripheral Nerve Regeneration In Vivo without Differentiation into Schwann-Like Lineage. *Plast Reconstr Surg.* 2016;137:318e–330e. [[PubMed](#)]

102. Tomita K, Madura T, Sakai Y, Yano K, Terenghi G, Hosokawa K. Glial differentiation of human adipose-derived stem cells: implications for cell-based transplantation therapy. *Neuroscience*. 2013;236:55–65. [[PubMed](#)]
103. Oliveira JT, Almeida FM, Biancalana A, Baptista AF, Tomaz MA, Melo PA, Martinez AM. Mesenchymal stem cells in a polycaprolactone conduit enhance median-nerve regeneration, prevent decrease of creatine phosphokinase levels in muscle, and improve functional recovery in mice. *Neuroscience*. 2010;170:1295–1303. [[PubMed](#)]
104. Orbay H, Uysal AC, Hyakusoku H, Mizuno H. Differentiated and undifferentiated adipose-derived stem cells improve function in rats with peripheral nerve gaps. *J Plast Reconstr Aesthet Surg*. 2012;65:657–664. [[PubMed](#)]
105. Fairbairn NG, Meppelink AM, Ng-Glazier J, Randolph MA, Winograd JM. Augmenting peripheral nerve regeneration using stem cells: A review of current opinion. *World J Stem Cells*. 2015;7:11–26. [[PMC free article](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
106. Cheng LN, Duan XH, Zhong XM, Guo RM, Zhang F, Zhou CP, Shen J. Transplanted neural stem cells promote nerve regeneration in acute peripheral nerve traction injury: assessment using MRI. *AJR Am J Roentgenol*. 2011;196:1381–1387. [[PubMed](#)]
107. Mauricio AC, Gartner A, Armada-da-Silva P, Amado S, Pereira T, Veloso AP Cellular Systems and Biomaterials for Nerve Regeneration in Neurotmesis Injuries. InTech. 2011
108. Matsuse D, Kitada M, Kohama M, Nishikawa K, Makinoshima H, Wakao S, Fujiyoshi Y, Heike T, Nakahata T, Akutsu H, et al. Human umbilical cord-derived mesenchymal stromal cells differentiate into functional Schwann cells that sustain peripheral nerve regeneration. *J Neuropathol Exp Neurol*. 2010;69:973–985. [[PubMed](#)]
109. Kuroda Y, Kitada M, Wakao S, Dezawa M. Mesenchymal stem cells and umbilical cord as sources for schwann cell differentiation: their potential in peripheral nerve repair. *TOTERMJ*. 2011;4:54–63.
110. Pereira T, Gärtner A, Amorim I, Almeida A, Caseiro AR, Armada-da-Silva PA, Amado S, Fregnan F, Varejão AS, Santos JD, et al. Promoting nerve regeneration in a neurotmesis rat model using poly(DL-lactide-ε-caprolactone) membranes and mesenchymal stem cells from the Wharton's jelly: in vitro and in vivo analysis. *Biomed Res Int*. 2014;2014:302659. [[PMC free article](#)] [[PubMed](#)]
111. Peng J, Wang Y, Zhang L, Zhao B, Zhao Z, Chen J, Guo Q, Liu S, Sui X, Xu W, et al. Human umbilical cord Wharton's jelly-derived mesenchymal stem cells differentiate into a Schwann-cell phenotype and promote neurite outgrowth in vitro. *Brain Res Bull*. 2011;84:235–243. [[PubMed](#)]

Articles from World Journal of Stem Cells are provided here courtesy of **Baishideng Publishing Group Inc**